1 2 3 4	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
5 6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10		GLOTING 1.22 CD 00115 NODIDIN	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-00145-NODJ-BAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
13	V.		
14	ALEXANDER ALVES, AND STEVEN MCTHAY,	DATE: June 26, 2024 TIME: 1:00 p.m.	
15	Defendants.	COURT: Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendants, by and		
19	through defendants' counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 26, 2024.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until August		
22	14, 2024, and to exclude time between June 26, 2024, and August 14, 2024, under 18 U.S.C.		
23	§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes reports, photographs, and audio files. All of this discovery has been either produced		
27	directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying or is in the process of being		
28	produced.		

//

- b) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to further review discovery, discuss potential resolution with his client and the government, and investigate and prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 26, 2024 to August 14, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- g) The parties also agree that this continuance is necessary for several reasons, including but not limited to, the need to permit time for the parties to exchange supplemental discovery, engage in plea negotiations, and for the defense to continue its investigation and preparation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

Case 1:23-cr-00145-NODJ-BAM Document 89 Filed 06/24/24 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the		
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial		
3	must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	Dated: June 21, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
7			
8		/s/ STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN Assistant United States Attorney	
9		·	
10	Dated: June 21, 2024	<u>/s/ ERIC KERSTEN</u> ERIC KERSTEN	
11		Counsel for Defendant	
12		STEVEN MCTHAY	
13	Dated: June 21, 2024	/s/ MIKE MCKNEELY	
14	,	MIKE MCKNEELY Counsel for Defendant	
15		ALEXANDER ALVES	
16			
17	<u>ORDER</u>		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from June 26, 2024, to August 14		
19 20	2024, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8 before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. Time is excluded		
20	pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv). The parties stipulation is untimely, but nonetheless, in the		
22	interest of justice, the Court grants the continuance. Any future delay in timely submission of the		
23	stipulation may result in denial of the requested continuance.		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25	Dated: June 24, 2024	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe	
26	-	JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
27			
28			